arXiv:1304.1823v1 [math.AP] 5 Apr 2013

A scaling approach to Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality

Aldo Bazan[1], Wladimir Neves[1]

Abstract

We mainly consider the general Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality in the Euclidean and Riemannian setting. In both cases, our proof relies mostly on a new parameter s conveniently introduced, see (2.7).

1 Introduction

In this paper, we mainly consider the general form of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality, that is to say

$$\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|x\|^{\gamma r} |u|^r dx\Big)^{1/r} \leq C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|x\|^{\alpha p} \, \|\nabla u\|^p \, dx\Big)^{a/p}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|x\|^{\beta q} |u|^q dx\Big)^{(1-a)/q} \tag{1.1}$$

for $n \geq 3$ to be an integer. The real values p, q, r and the parameters α, β, γ satisfy

$$p, \ q \geq 1, \quad r > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma r, \ \alpha p, \ \beta q > -n. \tag{1.2}$$

The parameter γ is a convex combination of the parameters σ and β, i.e.

$$\forall a \in [0,1], \quad \gamma = a\,\sigma + (1-a)\,\beta. \tag{1.3}$$

By the dimensional balance condition

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{n} = a\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{n}\right) + (1-a)\left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{n}\right). \tag{1.4}$$

Moreover, if $a > 0$, then $\sigma \leq \alpha$ and, if $a > 0$ and

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{n} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\gamma}{n},$$

---

[1] Instituto de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, C.P. 68530, Cidade Universitária 21945-970, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. E-mail: *aabp2003@pg.im.ufrj.br*, *wladimir@im.ufrj.br*.

To appear in:

Key words and phrases. Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality, Sobolev inequality, Hardy inequality, degenerated and singular elliptic partial differential equations.

then $\sigma \geq \alpha - 1$.

It has been claimed that the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality established in [8], as it was written above, is an interpolation between Hardy and Sobolev inequalities in their weighted versions (see the Appendix of this paper). Indeed, following our strategy introduced in [4], the proof of (1.1) relies in a suitable introduced parameter s, see (2.7) below, which allows us to better understand the range of the other parameters involved on (1.1), and prove the claimed interpolation cited before. Moreover, it should be noted that, as a consequence of this interpolation, the constant C in (1.1) will be an exponential convex combination of the Hardy and the Sobolev constant inequalities, that we can call respectively C_S and C_H, i.e.

$$C = C_S^{\theta}\, C_H^{1-\theta},$$

where $\theta \in [0, 1]$.

Before we continue to discuss the inequality (1.1) in the Euclidean setting, which contains most of the well known inequalities, we present the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality in the Riemannian case, which is also one of the issues of this paper and a new result, in this generality, from authors' knowledge. Then, we study in Section 4 the following inequality

$$\Big(\int_M \|h\|^{\gamma r}\, |u|^r dV\Big)^{1/r} \leq C\Big(\int_M \|h\|^{\alpha p}\, \|\nabla u\|^p\, dV\Big)^{a/p}\Big(\int_M \|h\|^{\beta q}\, |u|^q dV\Big)^{(1-a)/q} \tag{1.5}$$

where M is a Riemannian n-manifold, with $n \geq 3$, and h is a special vector field which allows us, again, to see the above inequality as an interpolation between Hardy and Sobolev inequalities in their weighted versions and the Riemannian setting. The conditions on M, which is to say, a complete and non-compact Riemannian manifold, also with maximal volume growth and non-negative Ricci curvature, will be explained with details in Section 4. Moreover, the special vector field h. Those conditions are most related to weight's homogeneity, and extra terms on the right hand side of Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities on manifolds.

The Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality appeared for the first time in [9], in that case $p = q = 2$ and $a = 1$. The paper [9] introduces the convenient definition of a suitable weak solution for the incompressible 3D Navier-Stokes equations with unit viscosity, and the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality was used to improve the result established before by Scheffer concerning the dimension of the subset of singularities. Albeit (1.1) appears earlier in the study of incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, it was soon understood that, this inequality is important in the theory of elliptic equations, for instance of the following type

$$-\operatorname{div}\big(A(x)\nabla u\big) = f(x, u), \tag{1.6}$$

where A is a nonnegative function that may be unbounded and f is a given function.

In different works, the existence and multiplicity of positive or nodal solutions for (1.6) was established, provided the differential operator

$$\operatorname{div}\big(A(x)\nabla(\cdot)\big)$$

is uniformly elliptic (for more details, see [3], [22]). Although, interesting and important situations are obtained respectively in the degenerated and singular cases,

$$\inf A(x) = 0, \quad \sup A(x) = \infty.$$

For instance, it was studied in [17] the existence (of at least two solutions) for the following problem

$$-\operatorname{div}\big(|x|^{-2s}\,\nabla u\big) = K(x)\,|x|^{-\sigma p}\,|u|^{p-2}u + \lambda\, g(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

where $K \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (in fact, K has more conditions), λ is a parameter, and g is a continuous function. The inequality (1.1) was used to show that the functional

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-2s}\|\nabla u\|^2\,dx - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x)\,|x|^{-\sigma p}|u|^p\,dx - \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)u\,dx$$

is well defined among other properties, that is to say, the existence of (at least) two critical points for J_λ. Similarly, it was studied in [5] the existence of non-trivial solutions for the following problem

$$-\operatorname{div}\big(|x|^{-2s}\,\nabla u\big) = \mu\,|u|^{-2(s+1)}\,u + K(x)\,|x|^{2^*\sigma}\,|u|^{2^*-2}u + \lambda\,g(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

where μ is also a parameter. Again the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality (1.1) was used to show that the functional

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\,\|u\|_\mu^2 - \frac{1}{2^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x)|x|^{-2^*\sigma}|u|^{2^*} - \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)u$$

is well defined and the existence of critical points, where

$$\|u\|_\mu^2 = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(|x|^{-2s}\|\nabla u\|^2 - \frac{\mu}{s}|u|^{-2s}\Big)\,dx.$$

Therefore, the importance of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality (1.1) is also shown in the two elliptic problems mentioned before. More information related to applications of this inequality in elliptic problems can be found in [10], [14] and [21]. Finally, we highlight that these singular and degenerate elliptic equations are given models (at the equilibrium) for anisotropic media, that are possibly somewhere between perfect insulators or perfect conductors, see [13], p.79.

Now, let us consider some particular values of the parameters:

1. When $\alpha = \beta = \sigma = 0$ the weights of the right side will disappear. On the other hand, because (1.3), we get $\gamma = 0$, and the weights on the inequality

will disappear too. In addition, if $a = 1$ we get a very important inequality, *the Sobolev inequality*: *for* $n \geq 2$ *and* $p \in [1, n)$ *we get*

$$(\int |u(x)|^{p^*} dx)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \leq C(\int \|\nabla u(x)\|^p \, dx)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $p^* = np/(n-p)$ *and* $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since it was found by Sobolev in the decade of the 30s, many studies were made to the better understand this inequality in different directions (sharp version, remainder term, bounded domains, Riemannian manifolds, etc). More information about this inequality can be found, for example, in [23]. Note the condition about the parameters: *this inequality holds if* $1 \leq p < n$.

2. When $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and $a = 1$, we get $\gamma \in [-1, 0]$. If $\gamma = -1$ we recover another useful inequality, the *Hardy inequality*: *for* $p \in [1, n)$ *we get*

$$\int \frac{|u(x)|^p}{\|x\|^p} \leq C \int \|\nabla u(x)\|^p \, dx,$$

where $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This inequality has an important difference with the Sobolev inequality: there exists a weight $\|x\|$ that helps to control the behavior of the function u in the origin. Information about the history of this inequality can be found, for example, in [18]. This inequality also has been studied in many directions (remainder terms, bounded and unbounded domains, singularity on the boundary, etc). One interesting application can be found, for example, in [11], where this inequality is used to show the existence of the Dirichlet problem for the p-Laplace operator in a bounded domain.

3. When $\alpha = \beta = \sigma = 0$ we get $\gamma = 0$ and it is possible to recover the *Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality*:

$$(\int |u(x)|^r dx)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C(\int \|\nabla u(x)\|^p)^{\frac{a}{p}} (\int |u(x)|^q)^{\frac{1-a}{q}}.$$

In particular, if we consider $a = 2/(2 + \frac{4}{n})$, $p = 2$ and $q = 1$, it is possible to get the *Nash inequality*

$$(\int |u(x)|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(\int \|\nabla u(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2+\frac{4}{n}}} (\int |u(x)|)^{\frac{\frac{4}{n}}{2+\frac{4}{n}}}.$$

The outline of the paper is the following. First we define a new parameter that permits us to *uncouple* the parameter γ and to write the interpolation inequality in an appropriate way. Then, we use this new parameter to obtain a more simple inequality that is equivalent to (1.1) in an appropriate sense. Next, we give the proof of this inequality in the Euclidean and the Riemannian case. Finally, we place some information about the weighted versions of the Hardy and Sobolev inequality, such as information about some parameters, in the Appendix.

2 The equivalent inequality

In this section, we define a new parameter that, as announced before at the introduction, allow us to write the original inequality in a more convenient simple way. From now on, all the integrals are on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and the functions that appear in the inequalities are test functions, i.e., functions in the space $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

First, we rewrite the condition (1.4) in the following way

$$\frac{1}{r} = a\left[\frac{1}{p} - \frac{(\sigma - (\alpha - 1))}{n}\right] + \frac{1-a}{q},$$

and define the key parameter s as

$$s := \frac{np}{n - p(\sigma - (\alpha - 1))}. \tag{2.7}$$

Observe that the new parameter s is equal to the parameter r when $a = 1$. From the conditions on σ, it follows that $0 < s \leq p^*$. Moreover, as $s > 0$, we must have from its definition that

$$n > p\big(\sigma - (\alpha - 1)\big) \tag{2.8}$$

holds true for all admissible values of σ. In particular, for $\sigma = \alpha$, we have $p < n$. Recall that this condition between the parameter p and the dimension n is necessary to prove the Sobolev inequality.

Now, we rewrite (1.1) and the related conditions using the parameter s. One remarks that, in the following statement, the norm used on the inequality is not necessary the euclidian norm, which is a crucial fact for that ones looking for sharp constants.

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a positive constant C, such that the following inequality holds for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$*

$$\Big(\int \|x\|^{\gamma r}\, |u|^r dx\Big)^{1/r} \leq C\,\Big(\int \|x\|^{\alpha p}\, \|\nabla u\|^p\, dx\Big)^{a/p}\Big(\int \|x\|^{\beta q}\, |u|^q dx\Big)^{(1-a)/q} \tag{2.9}$$

if and only if the following relations hold:

1. The dimensional balance condition:

$$r = \frac{s\,q}{aq + (1-a)s}. \tag{2.10}$$

2. If $a > 0$ then $\sigma \leq \alpha$. Also, if $a > 0$ and

$$\frac{s}{r} = \frac{n - p\big(\gamma - (\alpha - 1)\big)}{n - p\big(\sigma - (\alpha - 1)\big)},$$

then $\sigma \geq \alpha - 1$.

Moreover, for $s \in [p, p^*]$ the constant C could depend on all the parameters but not on u, otherwise C may also depend on u.

The proof of sufficiency for Theorem 2.1 will be establish at the next section. For necessity, we address the original re-scaling procedure done in [8]. We end up this section obtaining a clever inequality, which will be the basement for the next section. First, we rewrite the dimensional balance condition (4.18) in the following convenient way

$$r = (1-b)\,q + b\,s, \tag{2.11}$$

where $b \in [0,1]$ is defined as

$$b := \frac{aq}{aq + (1-a)s}. \tag{2.12}$$

Therefore, for any fixed q the parameter r depends on the values of s and a. Now, using (2.11) it is possible to get a convenient inequality, see (2.14), where the importance of the parameter s becomes more clear. Indeed, we observe first that for $a = 0$ the inequality (2.9) turns a equality with $C = 1$. Then, we hereupon assume $a > 0$ and consequently $b > 0$. Hence from the definition of γ and (2.11), we obtain

$$\gamma\, r = (1-b)(1-a)\beta q + (1-b)a\sigma q + (1-a)bs\beta + ba\sigma s,$$

but, we have also from (2.11), (2.12) the following relation between b, a, q and s

$$a(1-b)q = b(1-a)s. \tag{2.13}$$

Consequently, replacing (2.13) in the former equality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma\, r &= (1-b)\beta q - (1-b)a\beta q + b(1-a)\sigma s + (1-b)a\beta q + ab\sigma s\\ &= (1-b)\beta q - a(1-b)\beta q + b\sigma s - ab\sigma s + a(1-b)\beta q + ab\sigma s\\ &= (1-b)\beta q + b\sigma s.\end{aligned}$$

Then, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\int \|x\|^{\gamma r}\, |u|^r dx\Big)^{1/r} &= \Big(\int \|x\|^{(1-b)\beta q + b\sigma s}\ \, |u(x)|^{(1-b)q+bs}\, dx\Big)^{1/r}\\ &\leq \Big(\int \|x\|^{\beta q}\, |u(x)|^q\, dx\Big)^{(1-b)/r} \Big(\int \|x\|^{\sigma s}\, |u(x)|^s\, dx\Big)^{b/r},\end{aligned}$$

where we have applied Hölder's inequality for $1/(1-b)$ and $1/b$. Further, using (2.11) and the definition of b, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\int \|x\|^{\gamma r}\, |u(x)|^r\, dx\Big)^{1/r} \leq &\Big(\int \|x\|^{\beta q}\, |u(x)|^q\, dx\Big)^{(1-a)/q}\\ &\times \Big(\int \|x\|^{\sigma s}\, |u(x)|^s\, dx\Big)^{a/s}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

One observes that, the last inequality holds for all admissible values of the parameters. Moreover, in order to prove (2.9) it is enough to show the following inequality

$$\Big(\int \|x\|^{\sigma s}\, |u(x)|^s\, dx\Big)^{1/s} \leq C\,\Big(\int \|x\|^{\alpha p}\, \|\nabla u(x)\|^p\, dx\Big)^{1/p}, \tag{2.15}$$

for some positive constant C.

From (2.14) and (2.15) the role of the new parameter s is clear: We pass from the analysis of the parameter γ, that is, in a *bidimensional parameter space*, to the analysis of the parameter s, that is, in a *one dimensional parameter space.*

3 The interpolation idea

From the previous section, the proof of Theorem 2.1 follows once the inequality (2.15) is showed. Then, we will be focused on it.

3.1 The case $s \in [p, p^*]$

The strategy to show the inequality (2.15), it will be to interpolate the end-point values of s. We observe from the definition of s that this parameter only depends of the value of σ, that is, for each value of σ we obtain different values of s. In the following table, we summarize the values of σ and the corresponding values of s, that we consider here.

σ	α	$\alpha - 1$
s	p^*	p

The proof of (2.15) when $s = p$ and $s = p^*$ can be found in the Appendix. Then, for $s \in (p, p^*)$, first we write σ conveniently as

$$\sigma = (1-\theta)(\alpha - 1) + \theta\alpha,$$

where $\theta \in [0,1]$ and $s = (1-c)p + cp^*$, where $c \in [0,1]$ is given by

$$c = \frac{\theta(n-p)}{n - \theta p}.$$

It follows that

$$\sigma s = (1-c)\,(\alpha - 1)\,p + \alpha\, c\, p^*, \tag{3.16}$$

since we have the following relation

$$\theta(1-c)p = (1-\theta)cp^*.$$

Now using (3.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int \|x\|^{\sigma s}\,|u(x)|^s\,dx &= \int \|x\|^{(1-c)(\alpha-1)p+\alpha c p^*}\,|u(x)|^{(1-c)p+cp^*}\,dx\\ &= \int \Big(\|x\|^{(\alpha-1)p}\,|u(x)|^p\Big)^{(1-c)}\Big(\|x\|^{\alpha p^*}\,|u(x)|^{p^*}\Big)^c\,dx\\ &\le \Big(\int \|x\|^{(\alpha-1)p}\,|u(x)|^p dx\Big)^{1-c}\Big(\int \|x\|^{\alpha p^*}\,|u(x)|^{p^*}dx\Big)^c,\end{aligned}$$

where we have applied Hölder's inequality. Therefore, for each $s \in [p, p^*]$ fixed

$$\Big(\int \|x\|^{\sigma s} \, |u(x)|^s \, dx \Big)^{1/s} \leq C \Big(\int \|x\|^{\alpha p} \, \|\nabla u(x)\|^p \, dx \Big)^{1/p},$$

where the constant C is given by

$$C = \Big(C_H^{(1-c)/p} \, C_S^{c/p^*} \Big)^{1/s}.$$

3.2 The case $s \in (0, p)$

Let $0 < s < p$ be fixed and define

$$\kappa := \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{p},$$

which is a positive number. Then from the definition of s, we could write

$$\sigma = (\alpha - 1) - \kappa \, n,$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|x\|^{\sigma s} \, |u(x)|^s \, dx &= \int \|x\|^{(\alpha-1)s} \, |u(x)|^s \, \|x\|^{-\kappa \, ns} \, dx \\ &= \int \Big(\|x\|^{(\alpha-1)p} \, |u(x)|^p \, \|x\|^{-\kappa \, np} \Big)^{s/p} \, dx \\ &\leq \Big(\mathcal{L}^n \big(\operatorname{spt}(u) \big) \Big)^{\kappa s} \Big(\int \|x\|^{(\alpha-1)p} \, |u(x)|^p \, \|x\|^{-\kappa \, np} \, dx \Big)^{s/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Hölder inequality with $1/\tilde{p} + 1/\tilde{q} = 1$, for $\tilde{p} = p/s > 1$. Consequently, we get the following

$$\begin{aligned} \Big(\int \|x\|^{\sigma s} \, |u(x)|^s \, dx \Big)^{1/s} &\leq \Big(\mathcal{L}^n \big(\operatorname{spt}(u) \big) \Big)^{\kappa} \Big(\sup_{x \in \operatorname{spt}(u)} \|x\| \Big)^{-\kappa \, n} \\ &\quad \times \Big(\int \|x\|^{(\alpha-1)p} \, |u(x)|^p \, dx \Big)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \Big(\int \|x\|^{\alpha p} \, \|\nabla u(x)\|^p \, dx \Big)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = C_H \Big(\mathcal{L}^n \big(\operatorname{spt}(u) \big) \Big)^{\kappa} \Big(\sup_{x \in \operatorname{spt}(u)} \|x\| \Big)^{-\kappa \, n}$.

3.3 Important remarks on the Euclidean case

There are two important remarks:

a. The constants C in (2.9) and (4.17) are finite for $s \in [p, p^*]$, this is already mentioned in [8]. We could easily observe this from our proof. Moreover, when $s \in (0, p)$ the constant C could be not finite. In fact, in the Euclidean case, it will be finite once the support of u is $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Although, even in this case, that is, $\operatorname{spt}(u) = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, the constant C in (2.9) may depend on u.

b. We have used that, $p \in [1, n)$ when $\sigma = \alpha$. In fact, this condition follows for $\sigma \in [(\alpha - 1) + 1/p, \alpha]$. Indeed, to show this we define

$$I_\alpha := [(\alpha - 1) + 1/p, \alpha].$$

Then, from (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} p \in & \bigcap_{\sigma \leq \alpha} \Big[1, \frac{n}{\sigma - (\alpha - 1)}\Big) \\ = & \bigcap_{\sigma \in I_\alpha} \Big[1, \frac{n}{\sigma - (\alpha - 1)}\Big) = [1, n). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we remark that this is a natural consequence and it is not necessary to be an additional assumption.

4 The Riemannian case

In this section, we consider the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality in the Riemannian setting. We prove the inequality (1.5) using the same idea as before, that is, we again interpolate between Hardy and Sobolev inequalities in their weighted versions in the Riemannian setting. Although, it is necessary to note important differences between the Euclidean and the Riemannian cases.

First of all, the inequality (1.1) in [8] was defined using weight functions of $\|x\|^r$ type, for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$. The homogeneity of this type of weight functions was one of the main ingredients in the original proof of the inequality (1.1). Since then, many modifications on the weights, in particular cases of the (1.1), have been considered. For instance, it was considered in [6] a cylindrical weight, i.e., a weight function of the form

$$w(y) = ||y||,$$

where y is the projection of a point x in $\mathbb{R}^n$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ (that is $x = (x_0, y)$, with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$). Also, it was considered in [16] the following type of weight

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} \log\Big(\dfrac{1}{|x|}\Big) & \text{if } n = 1, \\[2ex] \log\Big(\dfrac{R}{||x||}\Big) & \text{if } n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

where $R > 1$. Moreover, it can be found in [24] an approach of the inequality (1.1) with general weights and also a remainder term. In these cases, the relationship between the parameters do not follow the conditions of the original theorem, because the weights are not necessary homogeneous. Similar condition happens for weighted inequalities on manifolds, from obvious reason. In fact, it does not have a standard way to consider weighted inequalities on manifolds.

Concerning the Sobolev inequality in its weighted version, the distance function is a commonly used weight function, see [25, 19], but it is not a consensus. In a different and interesting direction, it was used in [7] the existence of a conformal Killing vector field h (see the definition below) on a manifold M to prove the following inequality

$$\int_M \|h\|^{-p} \, |u|^p \, dV \leq \Big(\frac{|n-p|}{p} \Big)^{-p} \int_M ||\nabla u||^p \, dV,$$

where $p > 1$, $u \in W^{1,p}(M)$ and M admits a C^1 conformal Killing vector field, such that

$$\operatorname{div}_g h = n.$$

In that paper, more general inequalities were proved, but the case of the weighted Sobolev inequality was left open. On the other hand, it was considered in [19] both inequalities: a weighted Sobolev and a weighted Hardy, with the distance function being the weight function. It is given in that paper the proof of both inequalities under the volume growth condition, which is not maximal, but the volume satisfies a doubling condition.

Another important difference in the Riemmanian setting (not necessarily with weights) from the Euclidean case, is concerned an extra term which appears on the right hand side, more precisely, let us consider the Sobolev inequality. It can be found in [23], Theorem 3.3.10, the following version of the Sobolev inequality: if M is a complete n-manifold, $U \subset M$, where U is any precompact open region in M, $u \in C_c^\infty(U)$, and $p \in [1, n)$, there exist a constant $C(U, p)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\int_M |u(x)|^{p^*} dV \Big)^{1/p^*} \leq C(U,p) \Big[\Big(\int_M \|\nabla u(x)\|^p \, dV \Big)^{1/p} \\ + \Big(\int_M |u(x)|^p \, dV \Big)^{1/p} \Big].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have an extra term which does not appear in the Euclidean setting. Although, as mentioned in [23], under conditions about the volume growth and the Ricci curvature ($Ric \geq 0$), see Section 3.3.5, applying a pseudo-Poincaré type inequality, we obtain Theorem 3.3.11, where there does not exist the second integral on the right hand side of the above inequality.

Finally, it is very important to observe that, as it was showed in [15], it could happen a surprising phenomena in the Riemannian setting: For any integer $n \geq 2$, there exist a smooth, complete M Riemannian manifold, such that, for each $p \in [1, n)$, $W^{1,p}(M)$ does not embed in $L^{p^*}(M)$, see [15], Chapter 3, Proposition 3.3. Therefore, M be complete, is not a sufficient condition in oder to avoid this surprising phenomena.

In this section, we prove the inequality (1.5) for functions in $W^{1,p}(M)$, where M is a complete non-compact Riemannian n-manifold ($n \geq 3$) with maximal volume growth, $Ric \geq 0$, and the weight function is a conformal Killing vector field. In fact, we assume that the functions verifying (1.5) are in $C_c^\infty(U)$, and the general case can be obtained by a standard density argument. One remarks that, the existence of conformal Killing vector fields, in the case of a closed manifold (that is, a compact manifold without boundary) implies that, the Ricci curvature is non-negative, see [26].

To begin, we recall the definition of a conformal Killing vector field on a Riemannian manifold.

Definition 4.1. *Let (M, g) be a complete n-dimensional Riemannian manifold ($n \geq 3$), with g the Riemannian metric. Using local coordinates $(x^i)_{i=1}^n$, we have that $g = (g_{ij})$. A **nontrivial conformal Killing vector field** $h = h^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, is a vector field on M, such that*

$$\nabla^i h^j + \nabla^j h^i = \frac{2}{n}(\operatorname{div}_g h)\, g^{ij} =: \mu\, g^{ij}.$$

We observe that, $\nabla^i(\cdot)$ is the covariant derivative corresponding to the Levi-Civita connection, which is uniquely determined by the metric g, (g^{ij}) is the inverse matrix of (g_{ij}), and $\operatorname{div}_g h$ is the covariant divergence operator.

Now, following [23] we define the maximal volume growth condition of geodesic balls in M. For this, let $V(x, t)$ be the volume of a geodesic ball of radius $t > 0$ around a point $x \in M$. Then, we have the following

Definition 4.2. *Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold. We say that M has a **maximal volume growth**, if there exist a $c > 0$ such that*

$$\forall r > 0, \quad V(x, r) \geq c r^n.$$

It is important to remark that, the above definition in [23] (see page 82), appears associated to the condition that $Ric \geq 0$, i.e., the Ricci curvature is non-negative, such that M satisfies the pseudo-Riemannian inequality.

To follow, we state the principal result of this section.

Theorem 4.3. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannnian complete non-compact n-manifold with maximal volume growth, $Ric \geq 0$ and $n \geq 3$, and let U be any open precompact region in M. If M admits a conformal Killing vector field h, with $\operatorname{div}_g h = n$, then there exists a positive constant C, such that, the following inequality holds for all $u \in C_c^\infty(U)$*

$$\Big(\int_M \|h\|^{\gamma r}\, |u|^r dV\Big)^{1/r} \leq C\, \Big(\int_M \|h\|^{\alpha p}\, \|\nabla u\|^p\, dV\Big)^{a/p} \Big(\int_M \|h\|^{\beta q}\, |u|^q dV\Big)^{(1-a)/q} \tag{4.17}$$

if the following relations hold:

1. The dimensional balance condition:

$$r = \frac{s\,q}{aq + (1-a)s}. \tag{4.18}$$

2. If $a > 0$ then $\sigma \leq \alpha$. Also, if $a > 0$ and

$$\frac{s}{r} = \frac{n - p\big(\gamma - (\alpha - 1)\big)}{n - p\big(\sigma - (\alpha - 1)\big)},$$

then $\sigma \geq \alpha - 1$.
Moreover, for $s \in [p, p^*]$ the constant C could depend on all the parameters but not on u, otherwise C may also depend on u.

As in the proof of the Euclidean case, using the same parameter s, we have

Lemma 4.4. *Let (M, g) be with the same conditions in Theorem 4.3, and the parameters have the same relationships. Then, (*4.17*) is verified whenever the following inequality holds*

$$\left(\int_M \|h\|^{\sigma s}\,|u(x)|^s\,dV\right)^{1/s} \leq C\left(\int_M \|h\|^{\alpha p}\,\|\nabla u(x)\|^p\,dV\right)^{1/p}, \tag{4.19}$$

for some positive constant C.

The proof that (4.19) follows the same lines given for the Euclidean case. Indeed, using the interpolation between the case $s = p$ (the Riemannian weighted Hardy case) and the case $s = p^*$ (the Riemannian weighted Sobolev case). More details about these extreme points ($s = p$ and $s = p^*$) are given in the Appendix.

5 Appendix

In this last section, we first state the weighted version of Hardy inequality. The statement and the proof follow the same ideas in [7] and [23]. It is important to note that, the original inequality in the Euclidean case can be recovered with $h(x) = x$. The reference [23] gives conditions on the manifold, which makes possible to interpolate this inequality with the weighted Sobolev inequality present below.

Theorem 5.1. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannnian complete n-manifold with $n \geq 3$ and let U any open precompact region in M. If M admits a conformal Killing vector field, there exists a positive constant C, such that the following inequality holds for all $u \in C_c^\infty(U)$*

$$\int_M \|h\|^{p(\alpha-1)}\,|u(x)|^p dV \leq C_H \int_M \|h\|^{\alpha p}\|\nabla u(x)\|^p\,dV \tag{5.20}$$

It was stated and proved in [7], the following result about conformal Killing vector fields: Let ϵ be an arbitrary positive real number and h a conformal Killing vector field, then

$$\operatorname{div}_g\left(\frac{h}{\epsilon+\|h\|^k}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mu}{(\epsilon+\|h\|^k)^2}\big(n\epsilon+(n-k)\|h\|^k\big),$$

where $k\in\mathbb{R}$. This result is used in that paper to prove a Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequality in the Riemannian setting. In our case, we take $k=(1-\alpha)\,p$ in the above identity, and the proof of (5.20) is done using the same technique that appeared in [7].

Now, we state the weighted Sobolev inequality in the Riemannian version, and give an original proof of it. We remark that, the condition about the maximal growth is necessary here, because during the proof we use an *unweighted* Sobolev inequality (if this condition is not considered, this inequality can be false, see [19]).

Theorem 5.2. *Let M be a Riemannnian complete non-compact n-manifold with maximal volume growth, $Ric\geq 0$, $n\geq 3$ and let U be any open precompact region in M. If M admits a conformal Killing vector field, then there exists a positive constant C, such that the following inequality holds for all $u\in C_c^\infty(U)$*

$$\Big(\int_M\|h\|^{\alpha p^*}\,|u(x)|^{p^*}\,dV\Big)^{1/p^*}\,dV\leq C_S\Big(\int_M\|h\|^{\alpha p}\,\|\nabla u(x)\|^p\,dV\Big)^{1/p}.$$

We use the following result in the proof of this inequality: If h is a vector field on M, then

$$h\cdot\nabla(\|h\|)=\|h\|\,, \tag{5.21}$$

where the inner product is respect to the Riemannian metric (g_{ij}). The proof of this result follow the ideas in [12]. First, given $\epsilon>0$ we define a function λ, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda:(1-\epsilon,1+\epsilon)&\longrightarrow X(M)\\ t&\longmapsto t\,h,\end{aligned}$$

where $X(M)$ is the space of vector fields on M. Observe that, λ is differentiable in $(1-\epsilon,1+\epsilon)$, and $\lambda'(t)=h$. To follow, we define the functions $f(\cdot):=\|\cdot\|$ and u as

$$u(t):=f(\lambda(t)).$$

Then, we have

$$u'(t)=\nabla\,\|h\|\cdot h$$

On the other hand, using the definition of u, we obtain $u'(t)=\|h\|$. Thus

$$h\cdot\nabla\,\|h\|=\|h\|\,.$$

Proof. With the hypotheses of the theorem, if $f \in C_c^\infty(U)$ we have

$$\left(\int_M |f|^{p^*} dV\right)^{1/p^*} \leq C \left(\int_M \|\nabla f\|_*^p \, dV\right)^{1/p},$$

where C is a positive constant and $\|\cdot\|_*$ is the dual norm of a vector field. Also, we use during the proof the simple inequality of real numbers: if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \geq 1$, then

$$(a+b)^k \leq 2^{k-1}(a^k + b^k). \tag{5.22}$$

Now, if $f(x) := \|h\|^\alpha u(x)$ we have

$$\int_M |f(x)|^{p^*} dV = \int_M \|h\|^{\alpha p^*} |u(x)|^{p^*} \, dV. \tag{5.23}$$

On the other hand

$$\nabla f(x) = \nabla(\|h\|^\alpha u(x)) = \alpha \|h\|^{\alpha-1} u(x) \nabla \|h\| + \|h\|^\alpha \nabla u(x),$$

and making the inner product between h and $\nabla f(x)$, we have

$$h \cdot \nabla f(x) = \alpha \|h\|^{\alpha-1} u(x) h \cdot \nabla \|h\| + \|h\|^\alpha h \cdot \nabla u(x).$$

Moreover, we observe from (5.21) that

$$h \cdot \nabla f(x) = \alpha \|h\|^{\alpha-1} u(x) \|h\| + \|h\|^\alpha h \cdot \nabla u(x),$$

and by definition of the dual norm of $\nabla f(x)$, we obtain

$$\|\nabla f(x)\|_* \leq \alpha \|h\|^{\alpha-1} |u(x)| + \|h\|^\alpha \|\nabla u(x)\|.$$

Hence applying (5.22), it follows that

$$\|\nabla f(x)\|_*^p \leq K_1 \|h\|^{(\alpha-1)p} |u(x)|^p + K_2 \|h\|^{\alpha p} |\nabla u(x)|^p,$$

where K_1 and K_2 are positive constants. Integrating the last inequality on M and using (5.22), we get

$$\Big(\int_M \|\nabla f\|_*^p \, dV\Big)^{p^*/p} \leq K_3 \Big(\|h\|^{(\alpha-1)p} |u(x)|^p \Big)^{p^*/p} + K_4 \Big(\|h\|^{\alpha p} |\nabla u(x)|^p \Big)^{p^*/p}.$$

In the first integral of the right hand side we apply the above weighted Hardy inequality, then

$$\Big(\int_M \|\nabla f\|_*^p \, dV\Big)^{p^*/p} \leq K_5 \Big(\|h\|^{\alpha p} |\nabla u(x)|^p \Big)^{p^*/p}. \tag{5.24}$$

Finally, from (5.23) and (5.24), we obtain

$$\Big(\int_M \|h\|^{\alpha p^*} |u(x)|^{p^*} \, dV\Big)^{1/p^*} dV \leq C_S \Big(\int_M \|h\|^{\alpha p} \|\nabla u(x)\|^p \, dV\Big)^{1/p}.$$

□

References

[1] Abdellaoui, B., Felli, V., Peral, I., *Some remarks on systems of elliptic equations doubly critical in the whole* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Calc. Var., **34** (2009), 97–137.

[2] Abdellaoui, B., Felli, V., Peral, I., *A Remark on Perturbed Elliptic Equations of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg Type*, Rev. Mat. Complut., **18** n.2 (2005), 339–351.

[3] Ambrosetti, A., Rabinowit, P., *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal., **7** (1973), 349–381.

[4] Bazan, A., Neves, W., *Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities revisited*, submitted.

[5] Bouchekif, M., Matallah, A., *On singular nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg exponent*, Ricerche Mat., **58** (2009), 207–218.

[6] Badiale, M., Tarantello, G., *A Sobolev-Hardy inequality with Applications to a Nonlinear Elliptic Equation arising in astrophysics*, Arch. Rational Mech. **163** (2002), 259–293.

[7] Bozhkov, Y., *A Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequality on Riemannian manifolds*, Appl. Math. Letters., **23** (2010), 1166–1169.

[8] Caffarelli, L., Kohn, R., Nirenberg, L., *First Order interpolation inequalities with weights*, Comp. Math., **53** n.3 (1984), 259–275.

[9] Caffarelli, L., Kohn, R., Nirenberg, L., *Partial regularity of Suitable Weak Solutions of the Navier-Stokes Equations*, Comm. Pure and App. Math., vol. XXXV (1982), 771–831.

[10] Cirmi, G. R., Porzio, M. M., *L^1-solutions for some nonlinear degenerate elliptic and parabolic equations*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **169** (1995), 67–86.

[11] Chipot, M., *Elliptic equations: an introductory course*. Birkhäuser, 2009.

[12] Cordero-Erausquin, D., Nazaret, B., Villani, C., *A mass-transportation approach to sharp Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities.*, Adv. in Math., **182** (2004), 307–332.

[13] Dautray, R., Lions, J.-L., *Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Methods for Science and Technology, vol. 1; Physical Origins and Classical Methods.* Berlin, Heidelberg. New York, Springer, 1985.

[14] Fabes, E., Kenig, C., Serapioni, R., *The local regularity of solutions of degenerate elliptic operators*, Comm. Partial Differ. Equations, **7** (1982), 77–116.

[15] Hebey, E., *Nonlinear analysis on manifolds: Sobolev spaces and inequalities.* AMS, Providence, Rodhe Island, 1999.

[16] Horiuchi, T., Kumilin, P., *The Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities involving critical and supercritical weights*, Proc. Japan Acad., **88** Ser. A (2012), 1–6.

[17] Ghergu, M., Radolescu, V., *Singular elliptic problems with lack of compactness*, Annali di Mat., **185** (2006), 63–79.

[18] Kufner, A., Maligranda, L., Persson, L-E., *The prehistory of the Hardy inequality*, Am. Math. Monthly, **113** 8 (2006), 715–732.

[19] Minerbe, V., *Weigthed Sobolev inequalities and Ricci flat manifolds*, GAFA, Geom. Funct. Anal., **18** (2009), 1696–1749.

[20] Mitidieri, E., *A simple approach to Hardy inequalities.* Math. Notes, vol 67, N.4, 2000.

[21] Passaseo, D., *Some concentration phenomena in degenerate semilinear elliptic problems*, Nonlinear Anal., **24** (1995), 1011-1025.

[22] Rabinowitz, P., *Variational methods for nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems*, Ind. Univ. Math. J., **23** (1974), 729–745.

[23] Saloff-Coste, L., *Aspects of Sobolev-type inequalities.* Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[24] Yaotian, S., Zhihui, C., *Hardy-Sobolev inequalities with general weights and remainder terms*, Act. Mathematica Scientia, **28B** (3) (2008), 469–478.

[25] Shihshu, W. W., Li, J., *Generalized sharp Hardy type inequalities and Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities on Riemannian manifolds*, Tamkang J. of Math., **40** n.4 (2009), 401–413.

[26] Yano, K., Bochner, C., *Curvature and the Betti Numbers.* Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1953.